

Timing of magnetic reconnection initiation during a global magnetospheric substorm onset

D. N. Baker,¹ W. K. Peterson,¹ S. Eriksson,¹ X. Li,¹ J. B. Blake,² J. L. Burch,³ P. W. Daly,⁴ M. W. Dunlop,⁵ A. Korth,⁴ E. Donovan,⁶ R. Friedel,⁷ T. A. Fritz,⁸ H. U. Frey,⁹ S. B. Mende,⁹ J. Roeder,² and H. J. Singer¹⁰

Received 23 May 2002; revised 13 September 2002; accepted 17 September 2002; published 26 December 2002.

[1] We have used a unique constellation of Earth-orbiting spacecraft and ground-based measurements in order to study a relatively isolated magnetospheric substorm event on August 27, 2001. Global ultraviolet images of the northern auroral region established the substorm expansion phase onset at 0408:19 (± 1 min) UT. Concurrent measurements from the GOES-8, POLAR, LANL, and CLUSTER spacecraft allow us to construct a timeline which is consistent with magnetic reconnection on the closed field lines of the central plasma sheet near $X_{\text{GSM}} \sim -18 R_E$ some 7 minutes prior to the near-earth and auroral region times of substorm expansion phase onset. This suggests that magnetic reconnection (i.e., the substorm neutral line) in this case formed in the mid-tail region substantially before current disruption, field dipolarization near geostationary orbit, or auroral substorm onsets occurred. Thus, the magnetic reconnection process is interpreted as the causative driver of dissipation in this well-observed case. *INDEX TERMS:* 2720 Magnetospheric Physics: Energetic particles, trapped; 2740 Magnetospheric Physics: Magnetospheric configuration and dynamics; 2784 Magnetospheric Physics: Solar wind/magnetosphere interactions; 2788 Magnetospheric Physics: Storms and substorms. **Citation:** Baker, D. N., et al., Timing of magnetic reconnection initiation during a global magnetospheric substorm onset, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(24), 2190, doi:10.1029/2002GL015539, 2002.

1. Introduction

[2] It is a longstanding issue in magnetospheric substorm physics to understand where and exactly when key substorm processes initiate [e.g., Baker *et al.*, 1996]. One point of view is that very near-Earth (6–8 R_E) instabilities lead to cross-tail current disruption and auroral brightening, and then magnetic reconnection occurs subsequently in the mid-tail (20–30 R_E) region [e.g., Lyons, 2000]. On the other hand, a case studied in detail using Geotail, geostationary

Earth orbit (GEO), and ground-based data provided considerable evidence that magnetotail reconnection began prior to the near-Earth and auroral onsets of activity [Ohtani *et al.*, 1999]. What has been needed to help further clarify this issue is more well-observed cases and a better observational platform configuration.

2. Overview of August 27, 2001 Event

[3] The period early on August 27, 2001 had a particularly propitious arrangement of spacecraft. The Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) was directly upstream of the Earth. Figure 1a shows the composite ACE solar wind-IMF parameter, VB_z , which is a measure of solar wind energy coupling to the magnetosphere [see Baker *et al.*, 1996 and references therein]. The ACE data have been shifted by 59 min to account for propagation time to Earth. The value of VB_z went negative (i.e., became geoeffective) shortly after 0200 UT and stayed negative, with some fluctuations, until ~ 0430 UT. Figure 1b shows the auroral electrojet index $AE(= AU - AL)$. A large auroral onset was seen in AE at ~ 0410 UT. This substorm expansion phase onset time was very consistent with the dipolarization of magnetic fields seen at GOES-8 (and the subsequent “current disruption” shown in panel 1c). The injection of $E \gtrsim 100$ keV electrons during this substorm onset is shown in Figure 1d: Given that s/c 1994-084 was located at 11 LT, the injected electrons were quite dispersed in energy.

[4] The lower four panels of Figure 1 show data from POLAR and CLUSTER. Figure 1e shows an H^+ energy-time spectrogram from the TIMAS experiment on POLAR [Shelley *et al.*, 1995]. The H^+ data show a dropout to lobe-like conditions at ~ 0330 UT and a powerful return of higher-energy fluxes at ~ 0422 UT. Figure 1f shows the $E > 0.75$ MeV channel from the high-energy electron sensor telescope (HIST) on POLAR and it is clear that the plasma sheet recovery at 0422 UT seen by POLAR was accompanied by relativistic electrons. Finally, the lower panels (Figures 1g and 1h) show H^+ and O^+ spectrograms, respectively, from the CLUSTER Ion Spectrometry (CIS) experiment [Reme *et al.*, 1997] on CLUSTER 1. It is seen from these data that C1 was persistently in a hot, H^+ -dominated plasma sheet from ~ 0200 UT until ~ 0410 UT. There was a plasma dropout (into a more lobe-like environment) from ~ 0410 UT until ~ 0425 UT and there was then a return to a more tenuous hot plasma sheet until at least 0500 UT.

3. Details of Expansion Phase Onset

[5] In Figure 2 we present far ultraviolet (FUV) data from the IMAGE Wideband Imaging Camera (WIC) experiment

¹LASP, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado, USA.

²The Aerospace Corporation, El Segundo, California, USA.

³Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

⁴Max-Planck Institute, Katlenberg, Lindau, Germany.

⁵Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.

⁶University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada.

⁷Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA.

⁸Center for Space Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

⁹Space Physics Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA.

¹⁰NOAA, Space Environment Center, Boulder, Colorado, USA.




Figure 1. Multipoint overview data for the period 0200–0500 UT on August 27, 2001; (a) VB_z from ACE; (b) Auroral electrojet indices; (c) GOES-8 magnetic field data; (d) LANL energetic electron data, 50–500 keV; (e) POLAR/TIMAS H^+ data; (f) POLAR/HIST electron flux; (g) CLUSTER s/c #1 H^+ data; and (h) CLUSTER 1 O^+ data.

[Mende *et al.*, 2000]. These data are extremely important to help establish global substorm characteristics. We have carefully gone through WIC data using the available 2-min cadence of sequential images from 0200 UT through 0500 UT. Figure 2 shows a representative selection of images that reveal the main auroral results. During the period ~ 0220 UT to ~ 0400 UT, the polar cap (open flux) region generally grew in area as would be expected during an extended growth phase [e.g., Baker *et al.*, 1996]. As noted in the prior paragraph, there were hints in other data that a pseudobreakup probably commenced at ~ 0345 UT. This was seen in the WIC auroral images: Small brightenings occurred at 0345 UT, these intensified in a limited

way until about 0400 UT, and then this pseudobreakup activity subsided without developing into a full expansion phase.

[6] At $\sim 0408:19$ UT, WIC images showed dramatic brightening of auroral features (Figure 2e) right at local midnight and also around 20 LT. In the subsequent 10–15 min (Figures 2f–2h), the aurora showed a large expansion phase and breakup. By ~ 0430 UT, the substorm had clearly progressed toward a recovery phase. Thus, the IMAGE/WIC data support ground-based and GOES-8 data indicating that a substorm expansion phase started between the 0406:16 and 0408:19 UT images. Energetic neutral atom (ENA) images from IMAGE (not shown) also support this.

[7] Figures 3a and 3b shows the plasma flow moments (3a) and magnetic field components (3b) obtained from C1 for the period 0330 to 0500 UT. The (X,Y,Z) components of flows are shown, respectively, by black, red, and green curves. The lower four panels of Figure 3 show data from all four CLUSTER s/c according to the color coding (black, red, green, and blue) shown below panel 3d. In order, the data shown are: Figure 3c, energetic electron fluxes ($E > 30$ keV) from the RAPID experiment [Wilken *et al.*, 1997]; Figure 3d, plasma moments in the Earthward-tailward sense from the CIS experiment [Reme *et al.*, 1997]; Figure 3e, magnetic field north-south component from the FGM experiment [Balogh *et al.*, 1997]; and Figure 3f, electric field dawn-dusk component from the EFW experiment [Gustafsson *et al.*, 1997]. (Note in Figure 3 that CIS velocity moments and electric field data were not reliably available from C2).

[8] Figure 3 shows that during the pseudobreakup period after ~ 0345 UT, there was only a brief burst of Earthward plasma flow. How this relates, and possibly maps, to




Figure 2. Selected IMAGE Wideband Imaging Camera (WIC) far ultraviolet images for the times shown on 27 August 2001.




Figure 3. Details of CLUSTER data (as described in the text) for the period 0330 to 0500 UT on August 27, 2001. The small inset between panels (e) and (f) shows the CLUSTER constellation position.

associated auroral features seen by IMAGE is a fascinating issue that we will address in a future paper. Otherwise, all of the CLUSTER s/c continued to be embedded in a rather tenuous plasma sheet until well after 0400 UT. The relatively large value of B_x (Figure 3b) and the small values of B_y and B_z show that the s/c were in the outer parts of a (probably) fairly thick plasma sheet. Clearly the most interesting activity for all of the CLUSTER s/c began at ~ 0400 UT. At that time, B_x diminished substantially while first B_y and then B_z became negative (see panels 3b and 3e). At ~ 0401 UT all of the spacecraft saw strong tailward plasma flow ($V_x \sim -500$ km/s) and a small burst of energetic electrons (3c). By about 0406 UT, the magnetic field had rotated northward (and more Earthward) again and the plasma flow was by that time strongly Earthward. By ~ 0410 UT, the several CLUSTER s/c had moved into a nearly lobelike environment based on the RAPID/IES electron fluxes reaching background levels (Figure 3c), but even in the northern tail lobe there were some field-aligned bursts of plasma ions (compare panels 3a, 3d, and 3f).

[9] At ~ 0422 UT, the plasma sheet apparently expanded abruptly and re-enveloped all four of the CLUSTER s/c. As shown by IES data (Figure 3c) the first s/c to be enveloped was C3, which was the one closest to the neutral sheet. C4 was the farthest from the Earth and was the last to be enveloped. The plasma flow (3a and 3d) and electric field (3f) data show very strong Earthward flow in the recovering plasma sheet (0422–0430 UT).

[10] Figure 4 provides an even more detailed view of key data for the period 0352 UT to 0425 UT. Panel 4a shows magnetic field data for the B_z component for the four

CLUSTER spacecraft (again color-coded). Panel 4b shows the Earthward-tailward flow moments (for C1, C3, and C4). Panel 4c shows details of the GOES-8 magnetic field data for the parallel (H_p , green), Earthward (H_e , red), and normal (H_n , black) components. Figures 4a and 4b show interesting differences between various individual s/c. Overall, however, the combined, four-spacecraft data show a positive excursion in B_z at $\sim 0401:30$ UT followed by an interval of southward B_z (which lasted until ~ 0406 UT). During most of this time there was moderate to strong tailward plasma flow. At ~ 0406 UT the plasma flow switched to sunward (Earthward) flow and B_z became more northward in orientation.

[11] Notice in Figure 4c that there was a brief, small amplitude oscillation of the magnetic field at GOES-8 that commenced at ~ 0401 UT. This was almost “Pi 2-like” in character. However the major GOES-8 field dipolarization occurred at 0409 UT (± 30 s). After the dipolarization, there was an interval of ~ 2 -min duration with strongly fluctuating field which is usually identified as “current disruption” [e.g., Takahashi *et al.*, 1987].

4. Interpretation and Discussion

[12] As illustrated in Figure 5a, the magnetosphere went through a clear, prolonged growth phase during the period ~ 0230 to ~ 0400 UT. During this phase, the polar cap grew in size (IMAGE/WIC data) and the magnetotail became more stretched and stressed (POLAR, GOES, and ground data). There were several pseudobreakups during the growth phase, but IMAGE data suggest that only the ~ 0408 UT onset led to a full substorm development. As shown in Figure 5b, we conclude that at ~ 0401 UT there was onset of magnetic reconnection in the central plasma sheet at $X \sim -18R_E$ (CLUSTER data). We conclude that the observed dissipation was reconnection because of the negative magnetic field orientation and strong convective ($\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$) plasma flow. Over the course of several minutes, the plasma flow reversed direction and the magnetic field became northward. Thus, we suggest that the magnetic reconnection site




Figure 4. (a) Magnetic field Z_{GSM} -component data for the four CLUSTER spacecraft for the period 0352 to 0425 UT on August 27, 2001. Data for each spacecraft are color-coded as shown; (b) Plasma flow moments in the X_{GSM} direction for C1, C3, and C4; (c) GOES-8 magnetic field data (as described in the text).




Figure 5. A summary of the substorm sequence as seen for August 27, 2001: (a) The growth phase (0230–0400 UT); (b) The onset of tail reconnection (0401 UT); (c) Expansion phase (0408 UT); (d) Recovery phase (0422 UT).

(X-line) moved tailward past the CLUSTER constellation. From careful examination of the four individual CLUSTER spacecraft data sets, we calculate that the X-line moved tailward at ~ 100 km/s during the time interval 0405 to 0407 UT.

[13] It is likely that the magnetic X-line progressed from reconnection of closed (plasma sheet) to open (lobe) field lines at $\sim 0408:30$ UT (Figure 5c). In this interpretation, it is the explosive increase of reconnection rates that accompany lobe field reconnection (with the concomitant large Alfvén speeds in the inflow region of the X-line) that marks the expansion phase of the substorm (as seen in the auroral and ground-based data). This time would also mark the pinching off of the substorm plasmoid (Figure 5c). The progression of reconnection from closed plasma sheet field lines to the eventual reconnection of open field lines is an important aspect of the near-earth neutral line (NENL) model [Baker and McPherron, 1990; Baker et al., 1996].

[14] As a final step, and again in good comport with the NENL model, the plasma sheet rapidly expanded during the substorm recovery phase. This is illustrated in Figure 5d.

We note that both POLAR and CLUSTER sensors saw the plasma sheet recovery sequence quite well. Careful comparison of POLAR data (Figure 1) and CLUSTER data (Figure 3) show that POLAR observed the plasma sheet “thickening” front progressed tailward from POLAR ($-9 R_E$) to CLUSTER ($-19 R_E$) at high speed. As noted in conjunction with the discussion of Figure 3 above, we are able to use the multi-spacecraft RAPID data to calculate the plasma sheet expansion velocity at the CLUSTER location.

[15] In summary, we note that many authors have concluded [see Lyons, 2000 and references therein] that substorms initiate in the very near-Earth portion of the plasma sheet ($6-8 R_E$) and that mid-tail magnetic reconnection is a consequence, not a cause, of this near-Earth onset process. It is therefore important to realize that for at least some well-observed cases such as the one presented here [see, also, Ohtani et al., 1999] magnetic reconnection and magnetotail energy dissipation apparently begin well before near-Earth and auroral effects. We look forward to using the described telescope-microscope combination to further illuminate the relation between auroral, near-Earth, and mid-tail processes during many more substorms.

References

- Baker, D. N., and R. L. McPherron, Extreme energetic particle decreases near geostationary orbit, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *95*, 6591, 1990.
- Baker, D. N., et al., Neutral line model of substorms, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*, 12,975, 1996.
- Balogh, A., et al., The CLUSTER magnetic field investigation, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *79*, 65, 1997.
- Gustafsson, G., et al., The electric field and wave experiment for the CLUSTER mission, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *79*, 137, 1997.
- Lyons, L. R., Determinations of relative timing of near-Earth substorm onset and tail reconnection, *ESA SP-443*, p. 255, 2000.
- Mende, S. B., et al., Far ultraviolet imaging from the IMAGE spacecraft: 2, Wideband FUV imaging, *Space Science Reviews*, *91*, 271–285, 2000.
- Ohtani, S., et al., Substorm onset timing: The December 31, 1995 event, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 22,713, 1999.
- Reme, H., et al., The CLUSTER Ion Spectrometry (CIS) experiment, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *79*, 303, 1997.
- Shelley, E. G., et al., The Toroidal Imaging Mass-Angle Spectrograph (TIMAS) for the Polar mission, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *71*, 497, 1995.
- Takahashi, K., et al., Disruption of the magnetotail current sheet observe by AMPTE/CCE, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *14*, 1019, 1987.
- Wilken, B., et al., RAPID, the Imaging Energetic Particle Spectrometer on CLUSTER, *Space Sci. Rev.*, *79*, 399, 1997.
- D. N. Baker, W. K. Peterson, S. Eriksson, and X. Li, LASP, University of Colorado, 1234 Innovation Drive, Boulder, CO 80309-0590, USA.
- J. B. Blake and J. Roeder, The Aerospace Corporation, 2350 E. El Segundo Blvd, El Segundo, CA 90245, USA.
- J. L. Burch, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX 78284, USA.
- P. W. Daly and A. Korth, Max-Planck Institute, Katlenberg, Lindau, Germany.
- M. W. Dunlop, Imperial College, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom.
- E. Donovan, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB T2N 1N4, Canada.
- R. Friedel, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA.
- T. A. Fritz, Boston University, Center for Space Physics, Boston, MA 02215, USA.
- H. U. Frey and S. B. Mende, Space Physics Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.
- H. J. Singer, NOAA, Space Environment Center, Boulder, CO 80307, USA.